

# EXHIBIT B

JAN 24 2008

Form PTO-850		U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE	
[PROPOSED]			
<b>INTERFERENCE INITIAL MEMORANDUM</b>			
EXAMINER'S INSTRUCTIONS: This form need not be typewritten. Complete the items below and forward to the Group Clerk with all files including those the benefit of which has been accorded. The parties need not be listed in any specific order. Use a separate form for each count.			
(See MPEP 2309.02)			
BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES: An interference is found to exist between the following cases:			
This is count <u>1</u> of <u>1</u> count(s)			
<b>1. NAME</b> Leithem et al.	<b>SERIAL NO.</b> 09/334,125	<b>FILING DATE</b> June 15, 1999	<b>PATENT NO., IF ANY</b> None
The claims of this party which correspond to this count are:  Claims 61-62		The claims of this party which <u>do not</u> correspond to this count are:  None	
*Accorded benefit of:			
<b>COUNTRY</b>	<b>SERIAL NO.</b>	<b>FILING DATE</b>	<b>PATENT NO., IF ANY</b>
U.S.A.	08/370,571	January 18, 1995	None
U.S.A.	08/184,377	January 21, 1994	None
*Accorded benefit of:			
<b>2. NAME</b> Martin et al.	<b>SERIAL NO.</b> 08/499,115	<b>FILING DATE</b> July 6, 1995	<b>PATENT NO., IF ANY</b> 5,766,159
The claims of this party which correspond to this count are:  Claims 1-6		The claims of this party which <u>do not</u> correspond to this count are:  None	
*Accorded benefit of:			
<b>COUNTRY</b>	<b>SERIAL NO.</b>	<b>FILING DATE</b>	<b>PATENT NO., IF ANY</b>
None			
If a claim of any party is exactly the same as this count, it should be circled above. If not, type the count in this space (attach additional sheet if necessary):  Claim 1 of the Martin '159 patent OR Claim 61 of the Leithem '125 application			
Explanation of why each claim designated as corresponding to the count is directed to the same patentable invention as the count:  The count is a bifurcated count that includes as count alternatives the respective independent claims of the Martin '159 patent and the Leithem '125 application. The respective dependent claims correspond to the count because the additional limitations defined by those claims do not make them separately patentable.			
*The serial number and filing date of each application the benefit of which is intended to be accorded must be listed. It is not sufficient to merely list the earliest application necessary for continuity.			
<b>DATE</b>	<b>PRIMARY EXAMINER</b>	<b>TELEPHONE No.</b>	<b>ART UNIT</b>
<b>NOTE:</b> FORWARD ALL FILES INCLUDING THOSE BENEFIT OF WHICH IS BEING ACCORDED.		<b>GROUP DIRECTOR SIGNATURE (if required)</b>	

Exhibit B to Request For Interference in 09/334,125

KLJ:2116263.1